$=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{\prime}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$-phenyl), 7.28 (d, $1, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$-furyl), 6.98 (d, $1, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$-phenyl), 6.61 (q, 1,4-furyl), 5.4 (m, $1, H \mathrm{COH}$ ), 3.96 (s, $3, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).

Methyl 5-(2-Bromoacetyl)-2-hydroxybenzoate (46). To a stirred solution of $19.42 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methyl 5 -acetyl-2hydroxybenzoate (44) in 65 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise a solution of $16.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in 190 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue was recrystallized from EtOAc/heptane to give $22.1 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of $46, \mathrm{mp} 89-90$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 5-(2-Bromoacetyl)-2-methyoxybenzoate (47). A mixture of $66.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.341 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $44,7.26 \mathrm{~g}(0.511 \mathrm{~mol})$ of MeI, and $47.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.341 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in 400 mL of DMF was stirred at room temperature for 65 h . The misture was poured on 1.5 L of 1 N HCl and extracted 6 times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the extract was washed with water, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and cold 1 N NaOH and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated to give $51.3 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of $45, \mathrm{mp} 95-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To a solution of $52.0(0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{4 5}$ in 400 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added a solution of 40.0 g ( 0.25 mol ) of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in 200 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at such a rate that the reaction mixture decolorized ( 50 min after a $25-\mathrm{min}$ initiation period). Solvent was evaporated and the residue was recrystallized from MeOH to give 54.1 g ( $75 \%$ ) of 47 , $\mathrm{mp} 149-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A second crop of 10.4 g was obtained from the mother liquor.

2-Bromo-1-[4-hydroxy-3-(1 H-tetrazol-5-yl)phenyl]ethanone (52). 5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-1 $H$-tetrazole (53) was prepared from 2-hydroxybenzonitrile and hydrazoic acid in $86 \%$ yield by the procedure of Veldstra. ${ }^{46}$ To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of $16.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 53 in 300 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $39.9 \mathrm{~g}(0.3 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and, dropwise, $8.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$. The mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h , cooled, and decomposed by the addition of 200 mL of 2 N HCl . The resulting precipitate was washed with 2 N HCl and water and recrystallized twice from $i-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $8.0 \mathrm{~g}(39 \%)$ of [(4-hydroxy-3-1 H -tetrazol-
(46) H. Veldstra, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 77, 1129 (1958).

5-yl)phenyl]ethanone (54), mp $260-261^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1680$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

To a solution of $6.9 \mathrm{~g}(0.034 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 54 in 800 mL of refluxing THF was added 15.2 g ( 0.068 mol ) of cupric bromide in six portions over 2 h . About 600 mL of THF was removed by distillation, the cuprous bromide formed was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated and diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The product 52 crystallized, $8.2 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$, and a sample was recrystallized from THF/CHCl $: \mathrm{mp} 177-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR ( KBr ) 1670 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ) $\delta 4.93$ (s, 2). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) C, H, N. Compound 39 was prepared from 52 as described for 37.
Methyl 5-(2-Bromoacetyl)-2-ethoxybenzoate (55). A mixture of $19.4 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methyl 5 -acetyl-2-hydroxybenzoate, 13.8 $\mathrm{g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and $23.4 \mathrm{~g}(0.15 \mathrm{~mol}) \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ in 70 mL of dry DMF was stirred at room temperature for 45 h . The mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and NaCl solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated. The residue was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane to yield 16.8 g ( $76 \%$ ) of methyl 5 -acetyl-2-ethoxybenzoate (56), mp $47-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
To a solution of $14.4 \mathrm{~g}(0.065 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 56 in 80 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise a solution of $10.4 \mathrm{~g}(0.065 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. After an initiation period of 1.5 h and the addition of a few drops of $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AcOH}$, the addition was complete after 1 h . The solution was evaported to dryness and the residue was recrystallized from methanol/acetone to give $10.0 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$ of 55 , mp 147-149 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $1690,1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.43$ (s, $2, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}$.
Compound 43 was prepared from 55 as described for 37 and 22. Compounds 40 and 41 were similarly prepared from methyl 5-(2-bromoacetyl)-2-methoxybenzoate (47).
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#### Abstract

Analogues of tricyclic antidepressants were synthesized in which the $\alpha$-carbon of the side chain was replaced by nitrogen. The antidepressant activity of these imines, as measured by the reversal of the effects of tetrabenazine in mice, showed a structure-activity relationship similar to that of the carbon analogues. The most active imine (19) was six times as potent as amitriptyline. Some of the compounds differed from amitriptyline in that they produced stimulation in mice.


Since the introduction of amitriptyline for the treatment of depressive states, numerous analogues of this drug have been synthesized and some are being used clinically. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]Although many tricyclic oxime ethers with a basic side chain have been described in the literature, there had been at the time this work was initiated no report of the syn-
(2) N. Finch in "Antidepressants", S. Fielding and H. Lal, Eds., Futura Publishing Co., Mount Kisco, NY, 1975, and references cited there.

## Scheme I



METHOD C:


thesis and pharmacology of the corresponding imine analogues, ${ }^{3}$ possibly because of the assumption that the inherent sensitivity of the imine function toward acids would make oral administration of such drugs impossible. This paper describes the preparation and pharmacology of 5 H -dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -imines, the corresponding 10,11-dihydro derivatives, as well as imines derived from 1,1a,6,10b-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,e]cyclopropa[c]cyclo-hepten-6-one, dibenz[b,e]oxepin-11(6H)-one, dibenzo [b,-e]thiepin-11(6H)-one, 5,6-dihydromorphanthridin-11-one, 9 -xanthenone, 9 -thioxanthone, and 10,10-dimethyl-9anthrone. ${ }^{4}$ For comparison, we have also synthesized N -(aminoalkyl)benzophenone imines.

Synthesis. Heating a mixture of $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine and 5 H -dibenzo $[a, d$ cyclohepten- 5 -one (1b) or its 10,11 -dihydro derivative (1a) to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 $h$ produces the desired imines 2 b and 2 a ( $\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) in 70 and $20 \%$ yield, respectively. $p$ Toluenesulfonic acid accelerates this reaction; thus, after 18 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the imines 2 b and $2 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right.$ ) are formed in 38 and $10 \%$ yield. However, titanium tetrachloride, either in benzene (method A) ${ }^{5}$ or, preferably, hexamethylphosphoramide (method B), ${ }^{6}$ is a much better
(3) After the completion of most of the work described in this paper, reports appeared in the patent literature dealing with the same subject: P. Dostert and E. Kyburz, Ger. Offen., 2150079 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 77, 19451j (1972). Some of the compounds reported here have been synthesized and tested for antihistaminic, anticholinergic, and antiinflammatory activity: T. Bultsma, J. F. M. Meijer, F. I. Pauli, J. P. Ramaker, and W. T. Nauta, Eur. J. Med. Chem. Chim. Ther., 12, 427 (1977); J. F. M. Meijer, T. Bultsma, J. Zaagsma, O. P. Zuiderveld, and W. T. Nauta, ibid., 12, 437 (1977); J. F. M. Meijer, J. Zaagsma, and W. T. Nauta, ibid., 12, 443 (1977). N-Alkyl-10,11-di-hydro- 5 H -dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- - -imines have been prepared as intermediates: D. Taub and R. D. Hoffsommer, Jr., U.S. Patent 3780106 (1973). For the synthesis of $N$-phenyl5 H -dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -imine, see J. J. Looker, J. Org. Chem., 36, 1045 (1971). Derivatives of $N$-benzyl-10,11-di-hydro- 5 H -dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -imine have been described by J. A. Gautier, M. Miocque, C. Fauran, A. Lacour, G. Raynaud, and Y. Bailly, Fr. Demande 2244478 (1975); Chem. Abstr., 83, 113935r (1975). For analogues in which the imine function is incorporated in a fourth ring, see A. J. Frey, E. E. Galantay, and H. Ott, U.S. Patent 3296252 (1967); S. C. Bell and S. J. Childress, U.S. Patent 3417101 (1968).
(4) Some of the subject matter is claimed in the following issued U.S. Patents: R. Uyeda, 3901945 (1975); E. Ciganek, 3954865 (1976).
(5) I. Moretti and G. Torre, Synthesis, 141 (1970).
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Scheme III



50 $R=H$
$\underset{\sim}{56} R=M e$
catalyst which permits the reaction to be carried out at room temperature or slightly above (Scheme I). Alternatively, the $N$-methylimine may be prepared first and then converted to the desired imine by acid-catalyzed amine exchange (method C, Scheme I). Finally, aminoalkylimines may be obtained by reaction of tosyloxalkylamines with ammonia or primary or secondary amines (method D, Scheme I). Ketones containing substituents in the aromatic rings or having nonsymmetric chains X give mixtures of syn and anti imines. Except for one case (see Experimental Section), these were not separated. The imines prepared by these methods are listed in Table I.
Imines derived from the ketone 1 b may also be prepared by the reaction of 5,5 -dichloro- 5 H -dibenzo[a,d]cycloheptene (3) with primary amines (Scheme II). The dichloro compound 3 is obtained from 1 lb by reaction with 1 mol of phosphorus pentachloride ${ }^{7}$ or from the cheaper dihydro ketone la using 2 mol of phosphorus pentachloride. Reaction of la with 1 mol of the reagent produces an equimolar mixture of 3 and unreacted ketone.

Reaction of ketone 1 b with 2-aminoethanol at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has been claimed ${ }^{8}$ to give the amino ketal 5a. We find that this product is actually the imine 4 on the basis of spectral evidence (Scheme III). The infrared spectrum of 4 (in KBr ) shows the strong carbon-nitrogen double bond stretching band at $1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, characteristic of all imines reported in this paper. By contrast, the amino ketal 5b, prepared from the dichloro derivative 3 and 2-(methylamino)ethanol, shows no bands in this region, since the 10,11 double bond is infrared inactive.
The imines described in this paper are remarkably stable toward acid-catalyzed hydrolysis. Thus, they may be purified by extraction into aqueous hydrochloric acid followed by treatment with base. An aqueous solution of the maleate of imine $2 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right.$ ) undergoes hydrolysis to the extent of only $7 \%$ after 17 h at room temper-
(6) Caution: hexamethylphosphoramide has been found to be a carcinogen in rats by inhalation [J. A. Zapp, Jr., Science, 190, 422 (1975)].
(7) J. J. Looker, J. Org. Chem., 31, 3599 (1966).
(8) M. A. Davis, U.S. Patent 3560493 (1971).

Table I. Physical and Analytical Data for the Imines


| no. | X | Y | R | method of prepn ${ }^{a}$ | yield, \% | mp or bp, ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | C | 70 | 157-158 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 7 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NHMM}$ | C | 68 | 156-157 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NHEt}$ | C | 91 | 130-150 (0.3) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | NMR |
| 9 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | C | 94 | 139-141 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 10 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | Cl | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | C | 85 | 140-160 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}{ }^{26}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ | B | 71 | 160-170 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 12 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | C | 97 | 140-160 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 13 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C | 87 | 160-180 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 14 | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | C | 90 | 140-160 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 15 | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | C | 86 | 160-175 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 16 | c-CHCH2 CH | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | D | $f$ | 160 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{18}^{20} \mathrm{H}_{18}^{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 17 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NHMe}$ | B | 68 | 162-163 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 18 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NHEt}$ | B | 80 | 170 (0.2) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 19 | c. $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 60 | 153-154 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 20 | c- $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | Cl | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 53 | 160 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 21 | c. $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ | B | 86 | 170 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 22 | c- $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 61 | 170 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{21}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{24}^{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 23 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | B | 52 | 190 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 24 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | B | 57 | 200 (0.2) | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 25 | c- $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | B | 50 | 200 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 26 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{CH}^{e}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 65 | $160^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 27 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 43 | 160 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 28 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 50 | 80-81 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | C | 88 | 150 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | C | 91 | 170-180 (0.2) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 31 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 50 | 180 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 32 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NME}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 64 | 115 (0.03) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 33 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 70 | 130 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 34 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCOMe}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 30 | 144-145 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 35 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NME}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 60 | 100 (0.05) | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{H}^{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 36 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }_{d}$ | B | 59 | 120 (0.3) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 37 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | H H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 65 | 150 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 38 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B | 38 | 170 (0.2) | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | NMR |
| 39 | $\bigcirc$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NME}_{2}$ | B | 43 | 125 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 40 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NME}_{2}$ | B | 50 | 190 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 41 | S | $\stackrel{H}{H}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMMe}_{2}$ | B | 36 | 130 (0.01) | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 42 | S | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 43 | 130 (0.5) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 43 | $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | B | 69 | 175 (1) | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 44 | O |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ |  |  | A | 94 | 120-130 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 47 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ |  |  | A | 94 | 144-145 (0.1) | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | C, H, N |

[^1]ature. Even in boiling water, $70 \%$ of the maleate remains unhydrolyzed after 15 min . By contrast, the corresponding benzophenone derivative ( $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) is hydrolyzed almost instantaneously by cold dilute acid.

## Results and Discussion

The potential antidepressant effect of the imines was determined by the reversal of tetrabenazine-induced ptosis and decreased exploratory activity in mice. The method is described under Experimental Section. The results are listed in Table II, which also contains some relevant parameters of the mouse general pharmacology screen.
The most potent compound in the 10,11 -dihydrodibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -imine series was the amitriptyline analogue 9. It showed anti-TBZ (antitetrabenazine) ac-
tivity, as well as pupil dilation, motor incoordination, and electroshock protection in the same dose range as amitriptyline.
Replacing the methyl by ethyl groups in 7 and 9 (compounds 8 and 11) reduced or abolished anti-TBZ activity but increased toxicity. All anti-TBZ activity was lost by incorporation of the terminal nitrogen into a heterocyclic ring (compound 13). Increasing the chain length by one carbon (compound 12) reduced anti-TBZ activity. The anti-TBZ activity of the unsaturated analogue 14 was about that of 9 , but unlike the latter, it caused excitement at low doses.
Replacing the ethylene bridge ( X ) by a cyclopropane ring increased anti-TBZ potency; this also was seen in the aminoalkylidenedibenzocyclopropacycloheptenes. ${ }^{9}$ Com-

Table II. Effects of Imines in Mice (Oral ED ${ }_{50}, \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )

| compd | TBZ antagonism |  | mouse general pharmacology screen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | pupil dilation | excitement | ataxia | $\underset{\text { protection }}{\text { EST }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24-\mathrm{h} \\ \text { mortality } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | ptosis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | exploratory loss ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| amitriptyline | 1.4 (1.1-1.8) | 3.5 (2.7-4.6) | 23 | > 324 | 14 | 43 | 190 |
| imipramine | 0.9 (0.5-1.6) | 2.2 (1.7-3.0) | 198 | > 324 | 62 | 209 | 305 |
| doxepin | 5.9 (4.2-8.3) | 27 | 56 | > 324 | 23 | 62 | 232 |
| 6 | 18 (11-29) | 56 (121-146) | 200 | > 324 | 100 | 200 | 200 |
| $7^{c}$ | <5 | 8.5 (2.6-28) | 60 | > 324 | 36 | > 324 | 200 |
| 8 | $>125$ | $>125$ | 20 | > 324 | 20 | 60 | 36 |
| 9 | 1.9 (1.3-2.7) | 9 (4.7-17) | 20 | > 324 | 20 | 36 | 100 |
| 10 | 4.9 | 11.2 (8.1-15.4) | 36 | > 324 | 20 | 60 | 100 |
| 11 | > 125 | 36 | 36 | > 324 | 20 | 200 | 60 |
| 12 | 24 (10-57) | 34 (22-53) | 20 | > 324 | 60 | $>324$ | 36 |
| 13 | $>125$ | $>125$ | 100 | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $200^{\text {b }}$ | $300^{\text {b }}$ | $300{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 14 | 2.5 (2.0-3.1) | 6.4 (4.2-9.7) | 4 | 7 | 4 | $>324$ | 36 |
| 15 | 9.5 (5.8-15.6) | $>125$ | $7^{\text {b }}$ | $36^{\text {b }}$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $60^{\text {b }}$ |
| 16 | 0.7 (0.5-0.9) | 1.5 (1.1-2.1) | 100 | > 324 | 60 | 100 | 200 |
| $17^{\text {c }}$ | 0.6 (0.3-1.2) | 2.6 (1.2-5.5) | 60 | > 324 | 36 | 300 | 300 |
| 18 | 1.1 (0.7-1.9) | 8.4 (6.0-12.0) | 36 | > 324 | 36 | > 324 | 200 |
| 19 | 0.25 (0.17-0.37) | 0.7 (0.4-1.1) | 20 | 60 | 20 | > 324 | > 324 |
| 20 | 3.7 (2.7-5.1) | 11.2 (7.9-16) | 12 | 60 | 20 | 200 | 200 |
| 21 | 1.4 (0.8-2.6) | 6.9 (4.1-12) | 36 | > 324 | 36 | 100 | 200 |
| 22 | 1.7 (0.9-3.3) | >81 | 12 | > 324 | 12 | > 324 | 200 |
| 23 | > 125 | $>125$ | 7 | > 324 | 60 | 200 | 300 |
| 24 | 34 (22-53) | $>125$ | > 324 | > 324 | 12 | > 324 | 200 |
| 25 | 79 (37-170) | $>125$ | > 324 | > 324 | 200 | > 324 | > 324 |
| $26^{\text {c }}$ | >125 | >125 | 200 | > 324 | 200 | > 324 | > 324 |
| 27 | > 125 | >125 | > 324 | > 324 | 200 | > 324 | > 324 |
| 28 | 3.7 (2.2-6.2) | 12.5 (9.2-17) | 200 | > 324 | 60 | 200 | > 324 |
| 29 | 6.0 (3.0-12.0) | 33 | 20 | > 324 | 36 | 60 | 200 |
| 30 | 99 (48-203) | $>125$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | $>324^{\text {b }}$ | $200{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 31 | 12 (7-22) | 20 (12-32) | 36 | > 324 | 36 | > 324 | 300 |
| 32 | 11 (6-21) | 22 (16-31) | 60 | > 324 | 60 | 200 | 60 |
| 33 | 56 (26-122) | 96 (39-238) | 100 | > 324 | 200 | > 324 | 20 |
| 34 | > 125 | >125 | 200 | > 324 | 100 | > 324 | > 324 |
| 35 | 47 (30-73) | 27 (20-36) | 20 | > 324 | 20 | >324 | 60 |
| 36 | >81 | 81 | 60 | > 324 | 60 | > 324 | 60 |
| 37 | 40 (27-60) | >125 | 36 | 200 | 200 | > 324 | > 324 |
| 38 | > 125 | $>125$ | 20 | > 324 | 200 | > 324 | 20 |
| 39 | $>125$ | 73 (34-158) | > 324 | 60 | 60 | > 324 | 300 |
| 40 | > 125 | >125 | > 324 | > 324 | 60 | > 324 | 300 |
| 41 | 11 (6-20) | 22 (8-58) | 36 | > 324 | 60 | > 324 | 300 |
| 42 | 96 (84-110) | 125 | 60 | 60 | 60 | > 324 | 200 |
| 43 | >125 | >125 | > 324 | > 324 | 36 | > 324 | 200 |
| 44 | $>125$ | $>125$ | 20 | > 324 | 20 | 60 | 200 |
| 45 | $>125$ | $>125$ | $60^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $60^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $200{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 46 | $>81{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>81{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>324^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $36^{\text {b }}$ | $>200^{\text {b }}$ | $>324{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 47 | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>324$ | > 324 | 60 | > 324 | 200 |

${ }^{a}$ Confidence limits (in parentheses) determined according to J. T. Litchfield, Jr., and F. Wilcoxon, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 96, 99 (1949). ${ }^{b}$ Given intraperitoneally. ${ }^{c}$ Maleate.
pound 19 was six times as potent as amitriptyline, and like amitriptyline it caused mydriasis. Unlike amitriptyline or imipramine, 19 caused central nervous system stimulation at high doses. The demethyl analogue 17 was three times as potent as amitriptyline in the anti-TBZ test but one-third as potent for dilation of mouse pupils, which suggests it may be less anticholinergic. As in the previously discussed series, all modifications, such as changing nitrogen substituents or chain length, decreased or abolished anti-TBZ activity. Two fluorine substituents on the cyclopropane ring caused some loss of anti-TBZ potency; one or two chlorines abolished this activity completely.

Imines in which one carbon atom of the ethylene chain $X$ is replaced by a hetero atom or where chain $X$ is a single atom had much reduced or no anti-TBZ activity. Compound 29, the imine analogue of doxepin, had a profile similar to that of doxepin. Saturation of the imine double bond abolished anti-TBZ activity (compounds 44 and 45). The imines 46 and 47 derived from benzophenone were also inactive.

Structure-activity relationships of the imines reported in this paper are very similar to those of their carbon analogues. Substitution of the $\alpha$-carbon of the side chain by nitrogen thus has little effect on the activity of tricyclic antidepressants.
It has been reported elsewhere ${ }^{10}$ that $N$-(cyclopropyl-methyl)-10,11-dihydro-5 H -dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5imine ( $2, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}=$ cyclopropylmethyl) is a potent selective inhibitor of dopamine uptake in vitro.

## Experimental Section

Melting points are uncorrected. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded in deuteriochloroform on a Varian A-60 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Ketones 1 were purchased or prepared by methods described in the literature.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Methyl-10,11-dihydro-5 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo[ $a, d$ ]cyclohepten-5imine (2, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{M e}$ ). General Method A. A solution of 52.5 g ( 0.27 mol ) of titanium tetrachloride in 300 mL of anhydrous benzene was added slowly, under nitrogen, to a stirred, cooled (ice bath) mixture of $100 \mathrm{~g}(0.48 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $10,11-$
dihydro-5 H -dibenzo[ $a, d]$ hepten- $5-$ one, 103 g ( 3.4 mol ) of methylamine, and 1200 mL of anhydrous benzene. After stirring at room temperature for 12 days, the mixture was cooled, treated with concentrated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution, and filtered through Celite. The layers of the filtrate were separated, and the benzene layer was dried with magnesium sulfate. Removal of the solvent and crystallization of the residue from 200 mL of hexane gave 95.6 g ( $88 \%$ yield) of the title compound, $\mathrm{mp} 89-90$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An analytical sample (hexane) also had mp 89-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : NMR $\tau$ 2.3-3.2 (m, 8, aromatic H), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4, H-10, H-11), 6.7 (s, 3, Me); UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ (cyclohexane) 275 nm (sh, $\epsilon 1420$ ), 243 (11700). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Methyl-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-imine (2, $\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} ; \mathbf{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). (a) From $5 \boldsymbol{H}$-Dibenzo[a,d]cyclo-hepten-5-one. Using procedure A described above, a mixture of $100 \mathrm{~g}(0.48 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $5 H$-dibenzo[ $a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -one, $98 \mathrm{~g}(3.2$ mol of methylamine, and 1000 mL of benzene was treated with $53 \mathrm{~g}(0.28 \mathrm{~mol})$ of titanium tetrachloride. The mixture was worked up after stirring at room temperature for 6 days. Short-path distillation of the crude product at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pressure gave 101.2 g ( $95 \%$ yield) of N -methyl- 5 H -dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- 5 -imine as a viscous oil that solidified slowly on standing. A sample crystallized from cyclohexane had mp 76-78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : NMR $\tau$ 2.3-2.9 (m, 8, aromatic H), 3.2 (s, 2, $\mathrm{H}-10$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ ), 6.7 (s, 3, Me); UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ (cyclohexane) $290 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 12900$ ), 226 (31700); IR (KBr) $1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (vs), among others. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(b) From 5,5-Dichloro-5 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo $[a, d$ ]cycloheptene (3). A mixture of $10.14 \mathrm{~g}(0.049 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 10,11 -dihydro- 5 H -dibenzo[ $a, d$ ]cyclohepten- 5 -one, $20.13 \mathrm{~g}(0.098 \mathrm{~mol})$ of phosphorus pentachloride, and 50 mL of phosphorus oxychloride was heated under reflux for 3 h . The excess phosphorus oxychloride was removed under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in 200 mL of toluene, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was kept at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 0.5 mm vacuum for 30 min to give 13.3 g of essentially pure 3 , identical by infrared and NMR spectroscopy with the product prepared by the method of Looker. ${ }^{7}$

A solution of $8 \mathrm{~g}(0.26 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methylamine in 40 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added to a solution of $2.85 \mathrm{~g}(0.011 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 3 in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and filtered. Benzene was added to the filtrate, which was then washed with $10 \%$ aqueous sodium hydroxide solution and concentrated sodium chloride solution and dried. Removal of the solvent gave 2.85 g of a viscous oil, which was essentially pure $N$-methyl- $5 H$-dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten- $5-$ imine as shown by infrared and NMR spectroscopy.
$N$-Methyldibenzo[b,e]thiepin-11( $6 H$ )-imine ( $2, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$; $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{M e})$. General Method B. A solution of $17 \mathrm{~g}(0.09 \mathrm{~mol})$ of titanium tetrachloride in 50 mL of anhydrous benzene was added slowly, under nitrogen, to a stirred, cooled (ice bath) mixture of $29 \mathrm{~g}(0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ of dibenzo[b,e]thiepin-11(6H)-one, $40 \mathrm{~g}(1.3 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methylamine, and 130 mL of hexamethylphosphoramide (Caution: see ref 6). After stirring at room temperature for 6 days, the mixture was poured into a cooled mixture of ether and sodium hydroxide solution and then filtered through Celite. The ether layer was washed with water and was then extracted several times with cold $5 \%$ hydrochloric acid. The extracts were washed with ether and then treated with excess cold aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. Extraction with ether and short-path distillation of the residue obtained on removal of the solvent from the dried extracts gave $26 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of $N$-methyldibenzo[b,e]-thiepin-11 $(6 \mathrm{H})$-imine, as a mixture of syn and anti isomers: bp $126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; NMR $\tau 2.1-3.2$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 8$, aromatic H), $5.3-6.0$ ( m , 1, H-6), 6.4-6.9 (m + $2 \mathrm{~s}, 4, \mathrm{H}-6$ and Me). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS}$ ) C, H, N, S.
$\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-10,11-dihydro-5 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo-[a,d]cyclohepten-5-imine (9). General Method C. A mixture of $15.3 \mathrm{~g}(0.069 \mathrm{~mol})$ of N -methyl-10,11-dihydro-5 H -dibenzo [a,-d]cyclohepten-5-imine, 100 mL of $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine, and 1.5 g of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate was heated to $112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature for 64 h . Ether was added to the cooled mixture, and the solution was washed with aqueous potassium hydroxide solution and concentrated sodium chloride solution and dried. Removal of the solvent and short-path distillation of the residue at $120-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pressure gave 17.66 $\mathrm{g}(94 \%$ yield) of 9 as a viscous oil: NMR $\tau$ 2.3-2.6 (m, 1 , aromatic
H), 2.8-3.3 (m, 7, aromatic H), 6.3-6.7 (t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}=$ ), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4, C-10 and C-11), 7.3-7.6 (t, J=7 Hz, 2, CH2 $\mathrm{NR}_{2}$ ), 7.9 (s, 6 Me ); UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ (cyclohexane) 275 nm (sh, $\epsilon 1900$ ), 243 (11700). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

To a warm solution of $3.88 \mathrm{~g}(0.014 \mathrm{~mol})$ of the free base 9 in 10 mL of acetronitrile was added $1.65 \mathrm{~g}(0.014 \mathrm{~mol})$ of maleic acid, and the clear solution was seeded with a crystal of the salt and allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. There was obtained $3.55 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%$ yield) of the $1: 1$ maleate of 9 in the form of colorless crystals, mp $139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An analytical sample (MeCN) had mp 139.5-141 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:$ NMR $\tau 4.5$ (br, $2, \mathrm{HN}^{+}$), 2.2-3.1 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8$, aromatic H ), $3.9(\mathrm{~s}, 2, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.0-7.5(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{s}, 14, \mathrm{H}-10$ and H-11, $\left.=\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Me}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-10,11-dihydro-5 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo[a,d]-cyclohepten-5-imine ( $2, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ). In a Carius tube was placed $4.52 \mathrm{~g}(0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $N$-methyl- 10,11 -di-hydro- $5 H$-dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclohepten-5-imine, $9.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ of cyclopropylmethylamine, and $0.46 \mathrm{~g}(0.004 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate, and the tube was sealed under vacuum and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h . The cooled tube was opened, the cyclopropylmethylamine was removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in ether. The solution was washed with aqueous sodium hydroxide solution and dried. The solvent was removed and the residue was combined with the recovered cyclopropylmethylamine and 0.43 g of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate. The mixture was transferred to a Carius tube which was then sealed under vacuum and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . The mixture was worked up as described above, and the crude product was crystallized from hexane to give $3.47 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of the title compound, $\mathrm{mp} 98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An analytical sample (hexane) had mp 99-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : NMR $\tau 2.1-3.0(\mathrm{~m}, 8$, aromatic H ), 6.1-7.5 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6$, $=\mathrm{NCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-10$ and H-11), 8.3-9.0 (m, 1, cyclopropane), 9.1-10.0 (m, 4, cyclopropane). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3-Chloro- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-imine (10). 3 -Chloro- $N$-methyl-10,11-dihydro-5 H -dibenzo [a,d] cyclohepten-5-imine, mp 84-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was obtained in $58 \%$ yield from 3-chloro-10,11-dihydro-5 H dibenzo [a,d]cyclohepten- 5 -one by method A as described for the preparation of $2:$ NMR $\tau 2.3-3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 7$, aromatic H ), 6.6-7.4 ( m , 4, H-10 and H-11), 6.7 (s, 3, Me; however, the melting point indicates the presence of both syn and anti isomers). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Using method C , the above N -methylimine was converted to 10 in $85 \%$ yield: bp $140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) ( $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); NMR $\tau$ 2.3-3.2 (m, 7, aromatic H), 6.2-7.5 (m, 8, C-10 and C-11, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 7.8 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 6, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ratio ca. 3:2). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}\right.$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
On standing at room temperature for 40 days, the isomer ratio changed from $3: 2$ to $4: 1$ and the product partially crystallized. Crystallization from hexane gave the pure major isomer. Stereochemical assignments have not been made.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-Aminoethyl)-1,1a, $6,10 \mathrm{~b}$-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,e]-cyclopropa[c]cyclohepten-6-imine (16). General Method D. $\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1,1a, $6,10 \mathrm{~b}$-tetrahydrodibenzo[ $a, e$ ]cyclopropa [ $c$ ] cyclohepten-6-imine. Using method B the 2 hydroxyethylimine was prepared in $79 \%$ yield: mp $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); NMR $\tau 2.5-3.2$ (m, 8, aromatic H), 6.1-6.6 (m, 5, one H exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), $7.7(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$, cyclopropane), 8.1-8.7 (m, 2, cyclopropane). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ ) C, H, N.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(Tosyloxy)ethyl]-1,1a,6,10b-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,e]cyclopropa[ $c$ ]cyclohepten- 6 -imine. To a solution of 20.3 g ( 0.076 mol ) of N -(2-hydroxyethyl)-1,1a, $6,10 \mathrm{~b}$-tetrahydrodibenzo $[a, e]$ cyclopropa $[c]$ cyclohepten- 6 -imine, $23.4 \mathrm{~mL}(0.16 \mathrm{~mol})$ of triethylamine, and 141 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise, over a period of an hour, a solution of 16.2 g ( 0.085 mol ) of $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride in 70 mL of dry THF. The mixture was allowed to attain $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then stirred under nitrogen for 24 h , and then poured onto excess ice-water. The precipitate was filtered, thoroughly washed with water, and then dissolved in methylene chloride. The organic solution was, in turn, washed with $10 \%$ sodium hydroxide solution and saturated brine and dried, and the solvent was removed to give 29.4 g of a white solid. Recrystallization from ethanol gave 17.2 g ( $54 \%$ ) of the tosylate: $\mathrm{mp} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\tau 2.1-3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 12$, aromatic H$), 5.6\left(\mathrm{t}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $6.2\left(\mathrm{t}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 7.3-7.8(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{s}, 5$, cyclopropane +Me$), 8.1-8.9$
(m, 2, cyclopropane). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-Aminoethyl)-1,1a,6,10b-tetrahydrodiben zo[a,e]cyclopropa[ $c$ ]cyclohepten-6-imine (16). A solution of 4.8 g ( 0.0125 mol ) of $N$-[2-(toxyloxy)ethyl]-1,1a,6,10b-tetrahydrodibenzo[ $a, e$ ]cyclopropa[c]cyclohepten-6-imine, 20 mL of dry hexamethylphosphoramide, ${ }^{6}$ and 25 mL of ammonia was sealed in a Carius tube and kept at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. The solution was poured into 150 mL of water and twice extracted with 75 mL of ether. The organic layer was twice extracted with 50 mL of cold $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid. The aqueous solution was washed with 75 mL of ether and then made basic by the addition to a cold solution of sodium hydroxide. The oil was extracted with ether and dried over potassium carbonate, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was flash distilled at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) $(0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ to give 2.5 g ( $83 \%$ yield) of 16: NMR $\tau 2.4-3.1$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 8$, aromatic H), 6.1-6.7 (m, 2, = $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 6.8-7.3 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 7.4-7.8 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2$, cyclopropane), $8.1-8.7[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{s}, 4$; the $\mathrm{s}(2 \mathrm{H})$ is exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and cyclopropane]. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-5H-dibenzo[a, $d$ ]cyclohepten- 5 -imine (4). Using method C, 4 was obtained in $53 \%$ yield: mp 117-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after crystallization from benzene ( $\mathrm{lit.}^{8} \mathrm{mp} 113-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); NMR $\tau 1.8-3.0(\mathrm{~m}, 10$, aromatic $+\mathrm{H}-10$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ ), 5.7-7.6 (m, 5 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ). This compound was indentical, by infrared and NMR spectroscopy, with the product obtained according to ref 8. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Methylspiro[5H-dibenzo $a, d$ ]cycloheptene-5,2'-oxazolidine] ( 5 b). A mixture of $5.00 \mathrm{~g}(0.019 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 5,5 -dichlorodibenzo[ $a, d]$ cycloheptene (3) and 40 mL of 2 -(methylamino) ethanol was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a short period and then stirred at room temperature overnight. Ether and water were added, and the crude product obtained from the ether layer was crystallized from cyclohexane to give $1.94 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$ of $5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{mp} 110.5-111.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An analytical sample had mp $111-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : NMR $\tau 2.0-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2$, aromatic H ), $2.5-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 6$, aromatic H$), 2.9(\mathrm{~s}, 2, \mathrm{H}-10$ and $\mathrm{H}-11)$, $6.0\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.3\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 8.2(\mathrm{~s}$, 3, Me). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Pharmacology. Antitetrabenazine (TBZ) Test. This test was used to detect potential antidepressant activity. Groups of 10 Carworth $\mathrm{CF}_{1} \mathrm{~S}$ female mice, $18-21 \mathrm{~g}$ each, were fasted 1.5 h and were intubated with test compounds at oral doses of $0,5,25$, and $125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or $0,1,3,9,27$, and $81 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in 0.20 mL of $1 \%$ Methocel. The mice were challenged 30 min later with tetrabenazine (as the methanesulfonate), $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ intraperitoneally (dissolved in 0.20 mL of 0.05 M KCl at pH 2.0 ). One hour after the test was administered ( 30 min after tetrabenazine), the mice were examined for signs of exploratory activity and ptosis (eyelid closure). Antagonism of exploratory loss was recorded when a mouse, lifted by the tail from a group of 10 in a testing box and
placed on a stainless-steel testing box lid ( $12.5 \times 2 \mathrm{in}$. with 0.33 in. mesh), either turned its head horizontally $30^{\circ}$ in both directions or moved to the edge of the screen within 10 s after being placed on the screen. Antagonism of ptosis was recorded when exactly 2 s after placing the mouse facing the observer lid closure was less than $50 \%$ in both eyes.

General Pharmacology Screen. Female white mice, 16-20 $g$ each, were fasted $17-24 \mathrm{~h}$ and then dosed orally with test drug or standard drug at $0,4,12,36,108$ or $324 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Mice were observed at $0.5,2,5$, and 24 h after drug administration for the number of survivors and for signs of ataxia, pupillary dilation, excitement, and protection from electroshock.
Ataxia. The mouse or rat was placed upright on the bench top facing away from the observer. Motor incoordination manifested by abnormal gait or lack of precision during purposive movements constituted ataxia. Mice which did not walk or run spontaneously were prodded gently.

Pupillary Diameter. The mouse was held by the tail and neck nape with one hand while its head was steadied by gently holding its muzzle with the other hand. Pupillary diameter was measured with a dissecting microscope ( B and L ) ( $20 \times$ magnification) with an eyepiece fitted with a $10-\mathrm{mm}$ micrometer disk divided into $0.1-\mathrm{mm}$ divisions. The head of the mouse was placed about 8 cm below the microscope objective. A Nichloas microscope lamp (B and L ) was focused on the eye of the mouse and pupillary diameter was determined rapidly ( $2-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

Electroshock Convulsions. At 2 and 5 h after dosing, each mouse was held by the tail and neck nape and was positioned with the corneas of the eye touching saline-saturated corneal wick electrodes. A supramaximal (about 50 mA ) alternating current (from a Model B Medcraft ECT unit, set for 70 V ) was passed for 0.2 s through the corneal electrodes. A decrease of the typical maximal convulsive seizure produced by this stimulus (i.e., absence of the hindlimb extensor component) constituted electroshock protection.

Excitement and Irritability. Increased spontaneous motor activity, running and jumping, prior to handling was recorded as excitement.
An $E D_{50}$, the calculated dose at which $50 \%$ of the test animals would have responded, was calculated by the method of Thompson ${ }^{11}$ for each of the described parameters for the test compounds and the standard drugs.
(11) W. R. Thompson, Bacteriol. Rev., 11, 115 (1947).
(12) J. Bernstein and K. Lossee, U.S. Patent 3052721 (1962); A. M. Monro, R. M. Quinton, and T. I. Wrigley, J. Med. Chem., 6, 255 (1963).

# 4-Aryl-4-aminocyclohexanones and Their Derivatives, a Novel Class of Analgesics. 3. m-Hydroxyphenyl Derivatives 

Daniel Lednicer, ${ }^{1}$ Philip F. VonVoigtlander,* and D. Edward Emmert<br>Research Laboratories, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001. Received June 19, 1980

Derivatives of 4-aryl-4-(dimethylamino)cyclohexan-1-ones substituted by $m$-hydroxy groups were obtained by using as a key reaction the displacement of cyanide from the $\alpha$-aminonitrile of 1,4 -cyclohexanedione ketal, with the THP ether of $m$-hydroxyphenylmagnesium bromide. A number of the products show narcotic antagonist activity. Amino alcohols obtained on reaction of the free ketones with phenethyl Grignard reagents are potent analgetics, though devoid of antagonist activity. Systematic variation of the substituent on nitrogen revealed nonclassical structure-activity relationships; the dimethylamino group gives the most potent antagonist.

We have reported earlier on the synthesis and opioid analgesic activity of derivatives of 4 -aryl-4-aminocyclohexanone (1). ${ }^{2,3}$ The nature of the synthesis used in the

[^2]
earlier work made it awkward to carry a phenol through the scheme. Since it is well known that a $m$-hydroxy group has a profound influence on molecules with analgesic activity, we sought a relatively short route to these analogues.


[^0]:    (1) (a) Contribution no. 2529 from the Central Research \& Development Department of E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Co. (b) Geomet Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD 20852.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Method A, directly from ketone and primary amine in benzene with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ as catalyst; method B , directly from ketone and primary amine in lexamethylphosphoramide with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ as catalyst; method C , by amine exchange from $N$-methylimine and primary amine; method D, from the hydroxyalkylimine via the tosylate and reaction of the latter with ammonia. ${ }^{b}$ All liquids were short-path distilled; temperature listed is that of the bath; pressures are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$; temperatures without pressures are melting points. ${ }^{c}$ Maleate salt. ${ }^{d}$ Mixture of syn and anti isomers. ${ }^{e}$ Single isomer of unknown stereochemistry. ${ }^{f}$ See Experimental Section. ${ }^{g}$ See ref 12.

[^2]:    (1) Adria Laboratories, Columbus, Ohio.
    (2) D. Lednicer, P. F. VonVoigtlander, and D. E. Emmert, J. Med. Chem., 23, 424 (1980).
    (3) D. Lednicer, P. F. VonVoigtlander, and D. E. Emmert, J. Med. Chem., in press.

